

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application, in light of the following discussion and in view of the present amendment, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-10 are pending in the present application, and claims 1-4 and 7-10 are amended by the present amendment.

As an initial matter, Applicant thanks the Examiner for acknowledging receipt of the certified copy of the priority document, as indicated in item 1 at page 2 of the outstanding Office Action. Applicant further thanks the Examiner for the early indication of allowable subject matter with regard to claims 1-10 of the present application.

Objections to the Drawings, Specification and Claims

The drawings were objected to for including reference numerals 206 and 406 which were not mentioned in the specification, and for not showing every feature recited in the claims. In response to this objection, the specification is amended to properly refer to reference numerals 206 and 406, and new Fig. 5 is submitted including a computer readable recording medium, a processor, a target mobile station and a base station, in light of the comments noted in the outstanding Office Action.

It is believed no new matter is added by the amendments to the specification or new Fig. 5, at least because Figs. 2 and 4, respectively, clearly show the point in the process corresponding to reference numerals 206 and 406, and further because support for the features shown in new Fig. 5 is provided by

the originally-filed specification at least at page 7, line 17 to page 8, line 10. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested this objection be withdrawn.

The Abstract was objected to for exceeding 150 words and for informalities, and the specification was objected to for not providing antecedent basis for the features recited in the preamble of claims 9 and 10.

In response to these objections, a substitute Abstract is submitted in light of the comments noted in the outstanding Office Action. It is believed no new matter is added by the amendments to the Abstract. Further, regarding claims 9 and 10, it is respectfully submitted that antecedent basis for the features of claims 9 and 10 is provided by the originally-filed specification at least at page 7, line 17 to page 8, line 10 and at page 1, lines 7-17. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested these objections also be withdrawn.

Claims 2 and 10 were objected to for informalities. In response to this objection, claims 2 and 10 are amended in light of the comments noted in the outstanding Office Action and to better conform to standard claim drafting practice. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested this objection also be withdrawn.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 2-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as indefinite. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 2, 3 and 7-10 are amended in light of the comments noted in the outstanding Office Action and are believed to clearly set forth the features recited therein.

Further, amended claims 9 and 10 are now directed to "a computer-readable recording medium storing a program which implements a method," and it is respectfully submitted that amended claims 9 and 10 are now clearly directed to the computer-readable recording medium storing the program. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

Amendments to the Specification and Claims

In addition, the specification and claims 1-4 and 7-10 are further amended merely to correct minor informalities and to better conform to standard patent practice. It is believed no new matter is added by the amendments to the specification and claims.



CONCLUSION

Entry and consideration of the present amendment, reconsideration of the outstanding Official Action, and allowance of the present application and all of the claims therein are respectfully requested and is now believed to be appropriate. Applicant has made a sincere effort to place the present application in condition for allowance and believes that he has now done so.

The amendments to the claims made in this amendment have not been specifically noted as made to overcome a rejection based on cited art and should therefore be considered to have been made for a purpose unrelated to patentability, and no estoppel should be deemed to attach thereto.

If the Examiner should have any questions regarding this Response or the present Application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,
Seung-Won CHOI

 William Pieprz
Reg. No. 33,630

Bruce H. Bernstein
Reg. No. 29,027

December 28, 2004
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.
1950 Roland Clarke Place
Reston, VA 20191
(703) 716-1191

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

A new sheet of drawings is submitted including new FIG. 5. New FIG. 5 includes features recited in claims 9 and 10, and is supported at least by the specification at page 7, line 17 to page 8, line 10 and page 1, lines 7-17. It is believed no new matter is added by new FIG. 5.